UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/748,719	12/30/2003	Nicholas Graham Niell	RJENK38.001AUS	2147			
	7590 01/17/200 RTENS OLSON & BE	-	EXAM	INER			
2040 MAIN ST	REET	BELANI, KISHIN G					
FOURTEENTI IRVINE, CA 9			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
<b>,</b>			2143				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
			01/17/2008	ELECTRONIC			

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

jcartee@kmob.com eOAPilot@kmob.com

-	-
•	_
	_

I	r	t	е	r	V	i	e	V	V	S	u	ı	71	1	n	a	ŋ	/
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	---

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/748,719	NIELL ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kishin G. Belani	2143	

	Kishin G. Belani	2143				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) Kishin G. Belani.	(3) Attorney John Carson (F	Reg. # 34303).				
(2) Cort. Wetherald (Applicants' Patent Scientist).	(4) Hugh Dunlop & Chris O	<u>wen</u> .				
Date of Interview: 11 January 2008.						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)  applicant's representative	.]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.					
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,19 and 41</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: Naden & Griffiths.						
Agreement with respect to the claims f)  was reached. g	ı)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N	I/A.				
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The participants (Tel. # 619-687-8698) discussed the proposed amendments to independent claims 1, 19 and 41after non-final rejection, explaining how these amendments differred from the cited references. The examiner listened and agreed to carefully consider the proposed amendments (e.g. flow of content from a remote unit to a media server and database storage capability on the mobile computing device) while searching for new art.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS						
INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INT FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTE requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, RVIEW. See Summary of Re	cord of Interview	LATEK, TO			
•						

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required